



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Effect of treating *Schistosoma haematobium* infection on *Plasmodium falciparum*-specific antibody responses

Citation for published version:

Reilly, L, Magkrioti, C, Mduluzi, T, Cavanagh, DR, Mutapi, F & Cavanagh, D 2008, 'Effect of treating *Schistosoma haematobium* infection on *Plasmodium falciparum*-specific antibody responses' *BMC Infectious Diseases*, vol. 8, no. 158, pp. -. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2334-8-158

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1186/1471-2334-8-158](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2334-8-158)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In:

BMC Infectious Diseases

Publisher Rights Statement:

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Research article

Open Access

Effect of treating *Schistosoma haematobium* infection on *Plasmodium falciparum*-specific antibody responses

L Reilly¹, C Magkrioti^{*1,3}, T Mduluza², DR Cavanagh¹ and F Mutapi¹

Address: ¹Institute for Immunology and Infection Research, School of Biological Sciences, Ashworth Laboratories, King's Buildings, University of Edinburgh, West Mains Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JT, UK, ²Department of Biochemistry, University of Zimbabwe, PO Box 167, Mount Pleasant, Harare, Zimbabwe and ³Institute of Biology, NCSR Demokritos, Neapoleos and Patriarhou Gregoriou 15310 Agia Paraskevi, Athens, Greece

Email: L Reilly - l.j.reilly@sms.ed.ac.uk; C Magkrioti^{*} - chmagkrioti@yahoo.gr; T Mduluza - mduluza@medic.uz.ac.zw; DR Cavanagh - david.cavanagh@ed.ac.uk; F Mutapi - f.mutapi@ed.ac.uk

^{*} Corresponding author

Published: 17 November 2008

Received: 25 May 2007

BMC Infectious Diseases 2008, 8:158 doi:10.1186/1471-2334-8-158

Accepted: 17 November 2008

This article is available from: <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2334/8/158>

© 2008 Reilly et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Background: The overlapping geographical and socio-economic distribution of malaria and helminth infection has led to several studies investigating the immunological and pathological interactions of these parasites. This study focuses on the effect of treating schistosome infections on natural human immune responses directed against plasmodia merozoite surface proteins MSP-1 (DPKMWR, MSP-1₁₉), and MSP-2 (CHI50 and Dd2) which are potential vaccine candidates as well as crude malaria (schizont) and schistosome (whole worm homogenate) proteins.

Methods: IgG1 and IgG3 antibody responses directed against *Schistosoma haematobium* crude adult worm antigen (VWH) and *Plasmodium falciparum* antigens (merozoite surface proteins 1/2 and schizont extract), were measured by enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) in 117 Zimbabweans (6–18 years old) exposed to *S. haematobium* and *P. falciparum* infection. These responses were measured before and after anti-helminth treatment with praziquantel to determine the effects of treatment on anti-plasmodial/schistosome responses.

Results: There were no significant associations between antibody responses (IgG1/IgG3) directed against *P. falciparum* and schistosomes before treatment. Six weeks after schistosome treatment there were significant changes in levels of IgG1 directed against schistosome crude antigens, plasmodia crude antigens, MSP-1₁₉, MSP-2 (Dd2), and in IgG3 directed against MSP-1₁₉. However, only changes in anti-schistosome IgG1 were attributable to the anti-helminth treatment.

Conclusion: There was no association between anti-*P. falciparum* and *S. haematobium* antibody responses in this population and anti-helminth treatment affected only anti-schistosome responses and not responses against plasmodia crude antigens or MSP-1 and -2 vaccine candidates.

Background

Every 40 seconds a child dies of malaria, a total of more than 2000 deaths per day, [1]. Malaria is the most important human parasitic disease in terms of deaths, clinical cases and long term consequences for affected communi-

ties. In contrast, schistosomiasis is responsible for relatively few deaths, but is associated with considerable morbidity. About 200 million people are currently thought to be infected, with a further 600 million at risk of infection [2]. The geographical and socio-economic

distribution of *Plasmodium falciparum* infection overlaps with that of many helminth infections including *Schistosoma haematobium* in sub-Saharan Africa. This gives potential for interaction in the overall susceptibility, pathology or clinical manifestations for these infections. Indeed there is now a growing body of evidence showing that in both natural and experimental infections, schistosome and plasmodia infections profoundly affect each other immunologically and in the degree of pathology they cause in the host. Several studies have reported both cellular and humoral immunological interactions between plasmodia and schistosome infection [3-6] which may partly be explained by the existence of cross reactive epitopes between schistosome and plasmodia antigens [7,8].

We are interested in the potential effect of schistosome control programmes on malaria vaccine efficacy. Schistosome infection is controlled by treatment of infected people with the anti-helminth drug praziquantel and we have previously shown that treating *S. haematobium* infection alters schistosome specific humoral and cellular responses, accelerating the development of these responses [9,10]. We have subsequently shown that this modulation of immune responses is related to a change in both the quantity and type of antigens recognized by the host's immune system [11]. Since praziquantel treatment is used in people exposed to both malaria and schistosomiasis, it is important to determine if this chemotherapy alters any of the responses to malaria vaccine candidate antigens and therefore inadvertently affects their use for vaccination. Therefore, the aim of this study is to determine whether praziquantel treatment for schistosomiasis modulates natural antibody responses to malaria vaccine candidate merozoite surface proteins [12,13]. We focus on IgG1 and IgG3 immune responses associated with protection against these antigens [12,14].

Methods

Parasite antigens

Lyophilized soluble *S. haematobium* adult worm antigen (SWAP) was obtained from the Theodor Bilharz Institute (Egypt) and reconstituted as previously described elsewhere [11]. The parasite strain is one used for previous immuno-epidemiology studies [15]. Crude *P. falciparum* schizont antigen preparations were a gift of Dr. P. Druilhe, Institute Pasteur, Paris. The merozoite surface protein antigens used were prepared as recombinant proteins in *Escherichia coli*. Two antigens derived from merozoite surface protein 1 (MSP-1), DPKMWR and MSP-1₁₉ antigens also known as p190, gp195, [16] were used. MSP-1 can be divided into 17 distinct blocks, based on its conserved, semi-conserved and variable regions. Block 2 is highly polymorphic, with over 50 sequences described. However these sequences fall into one of 3 categories or serotypes:

K1; Mad20; and RO33 [17] and DPKMWR is a recombinant protein composed of Block 2 sequences from all 3 block 2 serotypes. MSP-1₁₉ is the conserved C terminal of MSP-1, and is the only part to remain on the surface of the merozoite, with the rest of the protein being shed upon erythrocyte invasion. Two full-length recombinant MSP-2 antigens were also used, namely CH150/9 (5/6) and Dd2 (13/14). MSP-2 has 2 serotypes: CH150/9 5/6 is taken from serotype A (3D7-like), and Dd2 belongs to serotype B (FC27-like).

Study population and sample collection

The study was conducted in the Mashonaland East Province of Zimbabwe (31°30'E; 17°45'S) where *S. haematobium* and *P. falciparum* are endemic. The study area is described in detail elsewhere [18]. Briefly the area is rural with the majority of the people living in thatched houses made up of clay. The main activity in these villages is subsistence farming and human water contact is frequent with at least 4 contacts/person/week due to insufficient safe drinking water and sanitation facilities (see [18] for studies in neighboring villages). Drinking water is collected from open wells while bathing and washing is conducted in two main rivers in the villages. Most families maintain a garden located near the river where water is collected for watering the crops. The villages were selected because health surveys regularly conducted in the region by the Provincial Medical Director showed little or no infection with other helminths and a low *S. mansoni* prevalence (<5%). Permission to conduct the work in this province was obtained from the Provincial Medical Director and ethical approval received from the Medical Research Council of Zimbabwe http://www.afronets.org/guidelines_for_researchers_and_ethics_committees.pdf. Informed consent (following explanation of the study aims and procedures) was obtained before the collection of parasitology and blood samples. The selected villages had not been included in the National Schistosome Control Program and there were no active malaria vector control programmes in the area. Therefore participants had not received treatment for schistosomiasis or other helminth infections meaning that we could study natural immune responses in the absence of drug-altered schistosome responses [9,19].

Plasmodium falciparum is the predominant species of malaria in Zimbabwe [20] where malaria transmission is largely unstable in nature. Approximately 5.5 million people out of a total population of 12.7 million live in malarious areas [21]. Out of the 56 districts in Zimbabwe, malaria transmission occurs in 42. In 2002, a revised stratification based on a national parasite prevalence survey, HMIS data, entomological data and expert opinion was prepared which classified our study area under the sporadic transmission regions with low transmission [21-23]

meaning that this is a mesoendemic area for malaria [24]. The peak *P. falciparum* transmission occurs from February to May [24] and our sampling times were in March and then 6 weeks later in April. The schools surveyed (a secondary school and its feeder primary school (i.e. where the majority of the primary school children come from) Goromonzi and Shangure Schools in Village, and Chindenga and Nyambanje Schools in Mutoko Village) were all in close proximity to rivers. Stool and urine specimens were assayed for *S. haematobium*, *S. mansoni* and geo-helminths using standard procedures [25,26] and the intensities of *S. haematobium* calculated from at least 2 urine samples (maximum 3) collected on consecutive days, and those of *S. mansoni* calculated from mean intensities of at least 4 Kato-Katz slides (maximum 6), 2 from each of the 2–3 stool samples collected. Anti-helminth treatment was administered to all compliant people after the first survey as well as 6 weeks later at the end of the study. In order to be included in the cohort, participants had to meet all the following criteria at the two sampling points (pretreatment and 6 weeks post-treatment): 1) have provided at least 2 urine and 2 stool samples on 3 consecutive days which are used for *S. haematobium* and intestinal helminths detection including *S. mansoni*; 2) be negative for intestinal helminths including *S. mansoni* (no one was excluded on this criteria as everyone was negative for these infections), 3) be negative for schistosome infection at the 6 week survey if they had received ant-helminth treatment, 4) have given at least 10 mls of blood for serological assays and preparation of a thick smear slide for microscopic detection of *Plasmodium* parasites. 117 people (53 male, 64 female) aged between 6–18 years old met these criteria and were included in the study. Of these, 89 people received praziquantel treatment while 28 people were not treated either because they did not take western medicine for religious reasons (23) or were not present on treatment days. The 28 people agreed to take part in the study and effectively became untreated controls. Thus the population was stratified by its schistosome and malaria status as well as schistosome treatment history as shown in table 1. People presenting with malaria were treated according to the treatment regime prescribed by the Ministry of Health in Zimbabwe.

Immunological assays

The antibody subclasses associated with protection against the MSP antigens are IgG1 and IgG3 [12,14]. Studies in *S. haematobium* have also associated IgG1 and IgG3 subclasses with protection against schistosomiasis [9,27]. Therefore this study focused on these two responses directed against crude schistosome worm antigen (WWH), crude malaria schizont and MSP-1/2 antigens. Indirect enzyme linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) already published for *S. haematobium* [15] and for the *P. falciparum* antigens [28-30] were used for the assays.

Briefly, ELISAs were conducted in duplicate for each sample using ELISA plates (Nunc-Immulon, Denmark) that were coated with 50 μ l/well of 50 ng/ml antigen for recombinant antigens and 1 μ g/ml for both crude antigens in 60 mM carbonate-bicarbonate buffer (pH 9.6) and incubated overnight at 4°C. Plates were blocked with 200 μ l/well of skimmed milk (5% milk in phosphate buffered saline (PBS)/0.03% Tween 20) for 1 hr and washed three times in PBS/Tween 20, which was used for all washes. 100 μ l of serum was added to each well at 1:100 dilution for all assays except for MSP1₁₉, which was 1:200; plates were incubated overnight at 4°C and then washed three times. 100 μ l of subclass-specific monoclonal antibody was added at 1:1000 dilution for the detection of IgG1 and IgG3 (The Binding Site, UK). Plates were incubated overnight at 4°C, washed six times and 100 μ l of ABTS substrate solution (KPL, Canada) was added, before the absorbance was read at 405 nm. Three negative controls from European volunteers who had never traveled to malaria or schistosome endemic areas were used on each plate and people were considered reactive if they had an absorbance value greater than the mean plus 2 standard deviations of the negative controls.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted using the statistical package SPSS and were used to test three distinct hypotheses, 1) *P. falciparum* status is not associated with schistosome-specific responses or schistosome infection level, 2) schistosome infection intensity is not related to plasmodia-specific responses or *P. falciparum* status, 3) treatment of schistosome-infected people with praziquantel does not affect plasmodia-specific responses.

For all statistical analyses, participants were divided into three age groups, 6–11 years old, 12–13 years, 14–18 years old reflecting the epidemiological groupings where schistosome infection levels are rising, peaking and declining as well as give large enough sample sizes for the statistical analyses. The initial statistical procedure was conducted to reduce the number of variables used in hypothesis testing. Partial correlation analysis was used to explore correlations between antibody levels directed against schistosome and plasmodia antigens. This was followed by factor analysis using principal components (PCA) [31]. PCA is a standard technique for reducing multivariate data down to its main independent features [31]. Components are extracted according to the amount of variation in the data they explain so the first component explains the most variation and each subsequent component is included if it explains a significant amount of variation present with the data [32]. This procedure was used in this instance for two reasons (1) to avoid type I and type II errors in multiple tests and using correlated independent variables [33,34] and (2) to determine if anti-

plasmodial and anti-schistosome responses clustered separately. Following the factor analysis principal components were analyzed further with logistic regression to determine the risk factors associated with being infected with malaria to specifically address hypothesis 1. The PCA were also used in an analysis of variance (ANOVA) to address hypothesis 2. For this analysis the following variables were categorical; sex (male, female); age (3 categories already described); malaria status (positive, negative) and the PCA factors 1 and 2 as continuous variables. Schistosome infection intensity ($\log(x+1)$ transformed) as the dependent variable.

To test the effects of treatment on immune responses it was necessary to first establish if there had been a significant change in antibody responses between the two time points. A non parametric 2-tailed Wilcoxon test for related samples was used for this analysis since antibody levels were not normally distributed. This was followed by an analysis determining if the observed differences were associated with praziquantel treatment, comparing the change in treated vs. untreated people after allowing for the effects of host sex, age, pre-treatment schistosome infection intensity and pre-treatment malaria infection status using repeated measures ANOVA. All statistical analyses were performed using the statistical software SPSS, and type III sums of squares were used to calculate the F-value where appropriate and the p value was set at 0.05 (see [33]). Unless otherwise stated, all statistical procedures used the following variables as categorical; sex (male, female); age (3 categories already described); malaria status (positive, negative); and schistosome treatment (treated, untreated). The following variables were continuous; mean schistosome infection intensity ($\log_{10}(x+1)$ transformed), antibody level (absorbance at 405 nm) and the principle components.

Results

Schistosome epidemiology

Parasitological examination gave an overall schistosome infection prevalence of 59% and a mean infection intensity of 39 eggs/10 ml of urine (SE = 11 with a range between 0 and 1000 eggs) before praziquantel treatment. Infection prevalence and intensity followed a convex age-infection profile with infection levels rising to peak in children 12–13 years old and infection intensity declining faster than infection prevalence thereafter (Figure 1). Eighty-nine people received praziquantel treatment and 28 were not treated. The prevalence of schistosome infection in this group did not differ significantly (57% and 60% respectively), but infection intensity was higher in the treated group (45 vs. 19 eggs/10 ml urine). This difference was accounted for by allowing for the effects of infection intensity before testing for the effects of treatment on the change in antibody level in the statistical analyses. All




Figure 1
***S. haematobium* infection intensity (mean of the age group) and prevalence for the different age groups.** Infection rises with age peaking in 12–13 year olds. Bars represent standard error of the mean.

participants except 1 showed a detectable anti-schistosome antibody response.

Plasmodium epidemiology

Malaria infection as determined by microscopic examination of thick smear slides was *P. falciparum* and had a prevalence of 66%. Parasite densities were not determined. *P. falciparum* prevalence peaked earlier (in 6–11 year olds) than schistosome prevalence (Figure 2) and did not differ significantly between people who received anti-helminth treatment and those who remained untreated (65% and 68% respectively). *P. falciparum* positive participants were parasitemic but asymptomatic and none of the partici-




Figure 2
***P. falciparum* infection prevalence for the different age groups.** Infection peaks in the youngest age groups 6–11 year olds.

pants suffered malaria attacks at the time of sample collection or were treated for malaria in between sampling times. There was no significant change in *P. falciparum* prevalence between the two sampling time points (66% vs. 62%) but a few people moved between infection status; 36 people remained negative, 68 positive while 9 people changed status from positive to negative and 4 from negative to positive. All participants except 3 had a detectable IgG3 response to *P. falciparum* schizont antigen a marker for relative exposure levels to *P. falciparum* infection [35] and these 3 did have a detectable IgG1 response against this antigen. For all antigens (plasmodia and schistosome specific), the most prevalent response was IgG3 and this showed different patterns with age to the IgG1 (Figures 3 and 4).

Immune responses before treatment

The participants mounted immune responses to both plasmodia and schistosome antigens as shown in Figures 3 and 4. There were no significant differences in responses

between the two groups (those to receive treatment and those to remain untreated) at the beginning of the study before anti-helminth treatment (Figures 5 and 6). When measuring the levels of antibody responses, those directed against the schistosome whole worm crude antigen were predominantly IgG1 while the responses to malaria schizont antigen were mixed both before treatment and 6 weeks later. Responses to the MSP-1 antigens were predominantly IgG1 and while those directed against the MSP-2 antigens were mixed.

Initial partial correlation analyses controlling for sex, age, malaria status and pre-treatment schistosome infection intensity showed no significant correlations between anti-plasmodial and anti-schistosome responses. This was confirmed by factor analysis which reduced the 12 antibody responses (IgG1 and IgG3 directed against the 6 antigens; WWH, schizont, MSP-1 antigens (DPKMWR, MSP-1₁₉), MSP-2 antigens (CH150 and Dd2) into two components partitioned by parasite species with all the anti-plasmo-

MSP-1(19)IgG1
MSP-1(DPK)IgG1
WWH IgG1

MSP-2(ch150)IgG1

Figure 3

Percentage of people producing detectable IgG1 antibodies against the antigens schistosome WWH (diamond), MSP-2 (CH150)(squares), MSP-2(Dd2)(triangles), MSP1(DPK) (crosses), MSP-1(19) (stars) and *P. falciparum* schizont (circles).

MSP-1(DPK)IgG3
 MSP-1(19)IgG3

WWHIgG3
 MSP-2(Dd2)IgG3

SchizontIgG3
 MSP-2(ch150)IgG3

Figure 4

Percentage of people producing detectable IgG3 antibodies against the antigens schistosome WWH (diamond), MSP-2 (CH150)(squares), MSP-2(Dd2)(triangles), MSP1(DPK) (crosses), MSP-1(19) (stars) and *P. falciparum* schizont (circles).

dial responses falling in one component (PCA1) and the two anti-schistosome responses falling in a separate component (PCA2) as shown in Table 2. The next step was to determine if these two variables representing anti-schistosome and anti-plasmodial responses affected the risk of a person being positive for malaria infection. This was conducted using a logistic regression which included host age, sex and schistosome infection intensity. This analysis showed that host sex, age and of level of anti-plasmodial/schistosome antibodies did not affect the risk of *P. falciparum* infection. However, schistosome infection intensity was a significant risk factor with the likelihood of being parasitemic for *P. falciparum* increasing with increasing schistosome infection intensity ($B = 0.589$, $SE = 0.270$, $Wald = 4.77$, $df = 1$, $p = 0.029$). Conversely schistosome infection intensity was significantly higher in people who were parasitemic for *P. falciparum* ($F = 5$, 67 , $df = 1$, 117 , $p = 0.019$) and was positively associated with PCA2 ($F = 21.5$, $df = 1, 117$, $p < 0.001$). The later means that schisto-

some infection intensity increased with increasing levels of anti-WWH IgG1 and IgG3. There was no significant association between anti-plasmodial responses (PCA1) and schistosome infection intensity ($F = 0.002$, $df = 1$, 117 , $p = 0.969$).

Effect of treatment on anti-schistosome and anti-plasmodial IgG1 and IgG3 responses

Six weeks after treatment of schistosome infections with the drug praziquantel, anti-schistosome and anti-plasmodial responses were assessed again to determine the factors associated with the change in the levels of these responses. There were significant changes in levels of IgG1 directed against WWH, MSP-2 (Dd2), MSP-1₁₉ and schizont antigen (Table 3) and in IgG3 directed against MSP-1₁₉. Where significant changes occurred, for them to be associated with praziquantel treatment, they had to differ significantly between praziquantel-treated people and the untreated controls after allowing for all other confound-

-

Figure 5

Mean IgG1 and IgG3 antibody responses directed against *P. falciparum* and schistosome antigens in the group not receiving anti-helminth treatment at the 2 time points. Bars represent standard error of the mean. Light histograms represent antibody levels at the start of the study, dark histograms antibody values 6 weeks later.

ing variables (sex, age, *P. falciparum* status and pre-treatment schistosome infection intensity). Only changes in anti-schistosome IgG1 differed significantly between treated and untreated people (Table 3), declining in untreated people, while increasing in treated people (Figures 7 and 8).

Discussion

The overlapping geographical and socio-economic distribution of *P. falciparum* and helminth infections has led to studies investigating the immunological and pathological interactions of these parasites some of which have reported the presence of cross reactive antigens between schistosome and malaria parasites (see [7] for review). There are also several studies which have reported that treatment of schistosome-infected/exposed people with the anti-helminth drug praziquantel alters their immune responses (see [36] for review). Given that there are now several control programmes distributing praziquantel in mass treatment programmes in Africa such as those conducted by the Schistosome Control Initiative <http://www.schisto.org/>, it is vital to determine if such treatment

has an impact on natural immune responses directed against malaria vaccine candidates.

In this study IgG1 and IgG3 responses directed against *S. haematobium* and *P. falciparum* antigens were studied before and after anti-helminth treatment with praziquantel. The presence of antibody responses to both *P. falciparum* and schistosome crude antigens (except one person who showed no reaction to schistosome antigens) indicated that the participants had been exposed to the infections and the IgG subclass responses to both parasites were largely in agreement with previously published results. The predominant response to schistosome crude antigens was IgG1 which is consistent with studies in other Zimbabwean populations [15]. Mixed IgG1 and IgG3 responses to plasmodia MSP-2 such as those observed here are common in semi-immune or non-immune cohorts [37]. Responses to the N-terminal Block 2 region of MSP-1 are predominately of the IgG3 subclass, so the mixed responses to the DPK antigen are unusual; this might be explained by the fact that the DPK antigen also includes the Block 1 region of MSP-1 [38], for which

Figure 6

Mean IgG1 and IgG3 antibody responses directed against *P. falciparum* and schistosome antigens in the group receiving anti-helminth treatment at the 2 time points (i.e. pre- and post-treatment). Bars represent standard error of the mean. Light histograms represent antibody levels at the start of the study, dark histograms antibody values 6 weeks later (after praziquantel treatment).

previous antibody isotype analysis is not available. As reported in other studies, the subclass response to MSP-1₁₉ was biased towards IgG1, with a minor component from the IgG3 subclass [39,40].

In this study the anti-plasmodial responses were not related to the schistosome responses. We and other groups have previously reported correlations between *P. falciparum* and schistosome immune responses. Our previous work suggested that there was an association between immune responses directed against crude antigens from the parasites [41], while Naus *et al* reported cross reactivity between IgG3 directed against *P. falciparum* MSP-2 and *S. mansoni* adult worm antigens in people exposed to both parasites [8]. They suggested that rather than having cross-reactive epitopes the two antigens might have molecules such as lectins that are cross-

reactive. In this current study we found no association between anti-schistosome WWH and anti- MSP-2 responses. The differences in our results and those previously reported may be due to use of specific defined antigens as opposed to crude antigens [41]. In cases where defined single antigens have been used [8], the difference in our results may be due to genetic differences in the *Plasmodium* parasite strains which may differ in the repeat sequences of the two MSP-2 antigens used [42,43]. We also showed no association between responses against MSP-1 and schistosome adult worms. This, together with our previous study showing that there was no association between schistosome-specific antibodies with responses directed against MSP-3b [41], suggests that there may not be any cross-reactive epitopes between these *P. falciparum* MSP proteins and schistosome crude antigens. This study showed that the anti-plasmodial responses did not affect

Table 2: Results of the Factor Analysis using Principle Components giving the loadings of each antibody response in each component.

	Principle Component 1 (anti-plasmodial responses)	Principle Component 2 (anti-schistosome responses)
Initial Eigen values % variance	46.465	13.241
Variables	Loadings	Loadings
WWH-IgG1	0.08	0.796
WWH-IgG3	-0.011	0.879
MSP-2 (CH150)-IgG1	0.864	-0.064
MSP-2 (CH150)-IgG3	0.715	0.101
MSP-2 (Dd2)-IgG1	0.740	-0.001
MSP-2 (Dd2)-IgG3	0.857	-0.30
MSP-1 (DPK)-IgG1	0.615	-0.304
MSP-1 (DPK)-IgG3	0.662	0.216
MSP-1 (MSP1 ₁₉)-IgG1	0.682	-0.2
MSP-1 (MSP1 ₁₉)-IgG3	0.876	-0.043
Schizont-IgG1	0.697	0.028
Schizont-IgG3	0.704	0.211

Principle Component 1 (PCA1) is most influenced by the anti-plasmodial responses while PCA2 is most influenced by anti-schistosome responses. The loadings indicate the correlation between the original variable and the new principle component (PC), i.e. they indicate the extent to which the original variables are influential in forming the PC [32]. Variables with loadings > 0.5 are highlighted in bold.

the risk of being positive for infection. More detailed studies relating the level of *P. falciparum* parasitemia to these responses are needed to determine if their effect is quantitative rather than qualitative. The observation that schistosome infection intensity was a significant risk factor for being *P. falciparum* positive with the likelihood of having malaria increasing with increasing schistosome infection intensity is consistent with findings from other studies [44]. The reason for this association is yet to be elucidated and may include several factors such as host genetics, host exposure patterns and parasite biology.

Anti-helminth treatment increased the level of the anti-schistosome IgG1 response. Although this increase in

IgG1 is marginally significant ($p = 0.046$), it is consistent with previous reports [9] and is not surprising as praziquantel treatment introduces a large amount of parasite antigens to the host simultaneously [45]. In a previous study we reported that there were significant changes in responses directed against MSP-3b and Glutamate Rich Protein (Glurp R0) following praziquantel treatment, but we could not attribute this change to the anti-helminth treatment due to lack of untreated controls in the study [41]. In this current study we were able to include a group of untreated people so that we could explicitly test the effects of treatment on plasmodia and schistosome-specific responses and although there were significant changes in the anti-plasmodial responses none of these

Table 3: Results of the statistical tests determining if there was a significant change in antibody level between the two time points (pre and post-treatment) and subsequently if any significant changes were related to praziquantel treatment

	T-test		Multi-variate analysis	
Response	Z-value	P-value (2 tailed)	F-value	P-value
WWH-IgG1	-3.424	0.001	4.083	0.046
WWH-IgG3	-1.206	0.228	0.054	0.816
MSP2 (CH150)-IgG1	-0.927	0.354	0.012	0.914
MSP2 (CH150)-IgG3	-1.658	0.098	0.068	0.974
MSP2 (Dd2)-IgG1	-3.386	0.001	0.135	0.714
MSP2 (Dd2)-IgG3	-0.895	0.371	0.849	0.359
MSP1 (DPK)-IgG1	-0.738	0.460	0.094	0.760
MSP1 (DPK)-IgG3	-1.885	0.059	0.676	0.413
MSP1 (MSP1 ₁₉)-IgG1	-3.292	0.001	0.524	0.471
MSP1 (MSP1 ₁₉)-IgG3	-3.927	0.000	0.069	0.793
Schizont-IgG1	-2.342	0.019	0.018	0.894
Schizont-IgG3	-0.970	0.332	0.227	0.635

A 2-tailed Wilcoxon nonparametric test for related samples was used to determine if there was a difference between the two time points (antibody data were not normally distributed even after transformations). A multi-variate analysis of variance was used to determine if any significant differences between the two time points were related to treatment. Residuals from this parametric test were normally distributed. The degrees of freedom for this were 1 and 117. For both tests P-values < 0.05 are highlighted in bold.

Figure 7
Changes in IgG I responses directed against schistosome and *P. falciparum* antigens. Since these are obtained by subtracting post-treatment levels from pre-treatment levels, increases in antibody levels after the 6 weeks appear on the negative scale, while decreases appear on the positive scale. * represents a significant difference between praziquantel-treated and untreated people at $p < 0.05$. Bars represent standard error of the mean. Light histograms represent untreated participants, dark histograms represent untreated participants.

were attributable to the anti-helminth treatment. One possible explanation for the changes in the plasmodia responses over the 6 weeks could be related to the dynamics of the *P. falciparum* infection in the study population. Generally levels of IgG1 declined over the 6 weeks while IgG3 levels rose. A recent study in Kenyan children reported that the anti-plasmodial IgG1 and IgG3 responses to MSP-1 and MSP-2 antigens had a short half

life with changes detectable within 6 week [46]. In the Kenyan study, levels of antibodies declined after a malaria attack unless they were boosted by the appearance of plasmodia parasites in the blood. In our study most children who were parasitemic at the beginning of the study were also parasitemic at the 6 week time point so that the antigen challenge was present at the two time points. This would have resulted in the maintenance of responses to

Table 1: Sample sizes of the population classified by schistosome and *P. falciparum* infection status

	Praziquantel Treated		Untreated controls	
	<i>P. falciparum</i> parasitemia positive	<i>P. falciparum</i> parasitemia negative	<i>P. falciparum</i> parasitemia positive	<i>P. falciparum</i> parasitemia negative
<i>S. haematobium</i> egg positive	36	17	11	5
<i>S. haematobium</i> egg positive	22	14	8	4

-

Figure 8

Changes in IgG3 responses directed against schistosome and *P. falciparum* antigens. Since these are obtained by subtracting post-treatment levels from pre-treatment levels, increases in antibody levels after the 6 weeks appear on the negative scale, while decreases appear on the positive scale. Bars represent standard error of the mean. Light histograms represent untreated participants, dark histograms represent untreated participants.

the antigens and may be indicating the previously published shift from IgG1 to IgG3 responses directed against merozoite surface proteins [37]. Given the mesendemic transmission patterns of the region, full interpretation of the treatment-independent changes requires quantitative studies enumerating the parasites as well as genotyping studies indicating infection/re-infection episodes in the intervening time. However since there were no significant differences attributable to praziquantel treatment in the participants it can be concluded that anti-helminth treatment of people exposed to both *P. falciparum* and *S. haematobium* parasites did not affect antibody responses against the MSP-1 and -2 malaria vaccine candidates. Further studies in a younger age group (children below 5 years of age, (who may be the main vaccine target group <http://www.malariavaccine.org/about-goals.php>) over a longer period will be valuable in developing integrated malaria and schistosome control programmes.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

LR and CM conducted the immunological assays and preliminary data analyses supervised by FM and DC. They also prepared the first drafts of the manuscript. DC provided *P. falciparum* antigen expression plasmids and LR and DC prepared the recombinant *P. falciparum* antigens. FM and TM conducted the field work and developed the hypotheses for testing. All authors read and corrected draft copies of the manuscript and approved the final version.

Acknowledgements

The investigation received financial support from the Medical Research Council, UK, The Wellcome Trust, UK, and the Carnegie Trust for the Universities of Scotland. FM acknowledges support from the MRC, UK (Grant no G81/538).

We are grateful for the co-operation of the Ministry of Health and Child Welfare in Zimbabwe, the Provincial Medical Director of Mashonaland East, the Environmental Health Workers, residents, teachers and school children in Mutoko and Rusike. We also thank members of the National Institutes for Health Research (Zimbabwe) for technical support.

References

- Sachs J, Malaney P: **The economic and social burden of malaria.** *Nature* 2002, **415**(6872):680-685.
- Chitsulo L, Engels D, Montresor A, Savioli L: **The global status of schistosomiasis and its control.** *Acta Trop* 2000, **77**(1):41-51.
- Diallo TO, Remoue F, Schacht AM, Charrier N, Dompnier JP, Pillet S, Garraud O, N'Diaye AA, Capron A, Capron M, et al.: **Schistosomiasis co-infection in humans influences inflammatory markers in uncomplicated *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria.** *Parasite Immunol* 2004, **26**(8-9):365-369.
- Lyke KE, Dabo A, Sangare L, Arama C, Daou M, Diarra I, Plowe CV, Doumbo OK, Szein MB: **Effects of concomitant *Schistosoma haematobium* infection on the serum cytokine levels elicited by acute *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria infection in Malian children.** *Infect Immun* 2006, **74**(10):5718-5724.
- Hartgers FC, Yazdanbakhsh M: **Co-infection of helminths and malaria: modulation of the immune responses to malaria.** *Parasite Immunol* 2006, **28**(10):497-506.
- Druilhe P, Sauzet JP, Sylla K, Roussilhon C: **Worms can alter T cell responses and induce regulatory T cells to experimental malaria vaccines.** *Vaccine* 2006, **24**(23):4902-4904.
- Helmby H: **Schistosomiasis and malaria: another piece of the cross-reactivity puzzle.** *Trends Parasitol* 2007, **23**(3):88-90.
- Naus CW, Jones FM, Satti MZ, Joseph S, Riley EM, Kimani G, Mwatha JK, Kariuki CH, Ouma JH, Kabatereine NB, et al.: **Serological responses among individuals in areas where both schistosomiasis and malaria are endemic: cross-reactivity between *Schistosoma mansoni* and *Plasmodium falciparum*.** *J Infect Dis* 2003, **187**(8):1272-1282.
- Mutapi F, Ndhlovu PD, Hagan P, Spicer JT, Mduleza T, Turner CM, Chandiwan SK, Woolhouse MEJ: **Chemotherapy accelerates the development of acquired immune responses to *Schistosoma haematobium* infection.** *J Infect Dis* 1998, **178**:289-293.
- Scott J, Turner C, Mutapi F, Woolhouse MEJ, Chandiwan SK, Mduleza T, Ndhlovu PD, Hagan P: **Dissociation of interleukin-4 and interleukin-5 production following treatment for *Schistosoma haematobium* infection in humans.** *Parasite Immunol* 2000, **22**(2):341-348.
- Mutapi F, Burchmore R, Foucher A, Hargus Y, Nicoll G, Midzi N, Turner C, Maizels R: **Praziquantel treatment of people exposed to *Schistosoma haematobium* enhances serological recognition of defined parasite antigens.** *J Infect Dis* 2005, **192**(6):1108-1118.
- Cavanagh DR, Dodoo D, Hviid L, Kurtzals JA, Theander TG, Akanmori BD, Polley S, Conway DJ, Koram K, McBride JS: **Antibodies to the N-terminal block 2 of *Plasmodium falciparum* merozoite surface protein 1 are associated with protection against clinical malaria.** *Infect Immun* 2004, **72**(11):6492-6502.
- Genton B, Betuela I, Felger I, Al-Yaman F, Anders RF, Saul A, Rare L, Baisor M, Lorry K, Brown GV, et al.: **A recombinant blood-stage malaria vaccine reduces *Plasmodium falciparum* density and exerts selective pressure on parasite populations in a phase I-2b trial in Papua New Guinea.** *J Infect Dis* 2002, **185**(6):820-827.
- Bouharoun-Tayoun H, Druilhe P: ***Plasmodium falciparum*: evidence for an isotype imbalance which may be responsible for delayed acquisition of protective immunity.** *Infect Immun* 1992, **60**:1473-1481.
- Mutapi F, Hagan P, Ndhlovu P, Woolhouse MEJ: **Comparison of humoral responses to *Schistosoma haematobium* in areas with high and low levels of infection.** *Parasite Immunol* 1997, **19**:255-263.
- Cavanagh DR, McBride JS: **Antigenicity of recombinant proteins derived from *Plasmodium falciparum* merozoite surface protein 1.** *Mol Biochem Parasitol* 1997, **85**(2):197-211.
- Cavanagh DR, Elhassan IM, Roper C, Robinson VJ, Giha H, Holder AA, Hviid L, Theander TG, Arnot DE, McBride JS: **A longitudinal study of type-specific antibody responses to *Plasmodium falciparum* merozoite surface protein-1 in an area of unstable malaria in Sudan.** *J Immunol* 1998, **161**(1):347-359.
- Ndhlovu P, Cadman H, Vennervald BJ, Christensen NO, Chidimu N, Chandiwan SK: **Age-related antibody profiles in *Schistosoma haematobium* in a rural community in Zimbabwe.** *Parasite Immunol* 1996, **18**:181-191.
- Correa-Oliveira R, Caldas RI, Gazzinelli G: **Natural versus drug-induced resistance in *Schistosoma mansoni* infection.** *Parasitol Today* 2000.
- Taylor P, Mutambu SL: **A review of the malaria situation in Zimbabwe with special reference to the period 1972-1981.** *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 1986, **80**:12-19.
- Midzi S, Teveredzi V, Mudyiradima R, Chihanga S, Netsa M, Mugove AC, Charimari L, Pasipamire J, Mutambu SL, Ngwenya N, Kibassa C, Gausi K, Banda J, Mukelabai C, O'Connell T, Root G: **Zimbabwe Roll Back malaria consultative mission (reaping).** 2004 [http://www.rbm.who.int/partnership/country/docs/SAfrica/reaping_zimbabwe.pdf].
- Mabaso ML, Craig M, Vounatsou P, Smith T: **Towards empirical description of malaria seasonality in southern Africa: the example of Zimbabwe.** *Trop Med Int Health* 2005, **10**(9):909-918.
- Mabaso ML, Vounatsou P, Midzi S, Da Silva J, Smith T: **Spatio-temporal analysis of the role of climate in inter-annual variation of malaria incidence in Zimbabwe.** *Int J Health Geogr* 2006, **5**:20.
- Mharakurwa S, Mutambu SL, Mudyiradima R, Chimbadzwa T, Chandiwan SK, Day KP: **Association of house spraying with suppressed levels of drug resistance in Zimbabwe.** *Malar J* 2004, **3**:35.
- Katz N, Chaves A, Pellegrino J: **A simple device for quantitative stool thick smear technique in schistosomiasis mansoni.** *Rev Inst Med Trop Sao Paulo* 1972, **14**:397-400.
- Mott KE: **A reusable polyamide filter for diagnosis of *S. haematobium* infection by urine filtration.** *Bull Soc Pathol Exot Filiales* 1983, **76**(1):101-104.
- Mutapi F, Mduleza T, Gomez-Escobar N, Gregory WF, Fernandez C, Midzi N, Maizels RM: **Immuno-epidemiology of human *Schistosoma haematobium* infection: preferential IgG3 antibody responsiveness to a recombinant antigen dependent on age and parasite burden.** *BMC Infect Dis* 2006, **6**:96.
- Aribot G, Rogier C, Sarthou JL, Trape JF, Balde AT, Druilhe P, Roussilhon C: **Pattern of immunoglobulin isotype response to *Plasmodium falciparum* blood-stage antigens in individuals living in a holoendemic area of Senegal (Dielmo, west Africa).** *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 1996, **54**(5):449-457.
- Oeuvray C, Bouharoun-Tayoun H, Gras-Masse H, Bottius E, Kaidoh T, Aikawa M, Filgueira MC, Tartar A, Druilhe P: **Merozoite surface protein-3: a malaria protein inducing antibodies that promote *Plasmodium falciparum* killing by cooperation with blood monocytes.** *Blood* 1994, **84**(5):1594-1602.
- Theisen M, Soe S, Oeuvray C, Thomas AW, Vuust J, Danielsen S, Jepsen S, Druilhe P: **The glutamate-rich protein (GLURP) of *Plasmodium falciparum* is a target for antibody-dependent monocyte-mediated inhibition of parasite growth in vitro.** *Infect Immun* 1998, **66**(1):11-17.
- Sokal RR, Rohlf J: **Biometry: the principles and practice of statistics in biological research.** *Freeman and Company* 1995.
- Sharma S: **Applied multivariate techniques.** New York: John Wiley and Sons; 1996.
- Mutapi F, Roddam A: **p-values for pathogens: statistical inference from infectious-disease data.** *Lancet Infect Dis* 2002, **2**:219-230.
- Grafen A, R.Hails R: **Modern statistics for the life sciences.** Oxford University Press; 2002.
- Wilson S, Jones FM, Mwatha JK, Kimani G, Booth M, Kariuki HC, Vennervald BJ, Ouma JH, Muchiri E, Dunne DW: **Hepatosplenomegaly is associated with low regulatory and Th2 responses to schistosome antigens in childhood schistosomiasis and malaria coinfection.** *Infect Immun* 2008, **76**(5):2212-2218.
- Mutapi F: **Heterogeneities in anti-schistosome humoral responses following chemotherapy.** *Trends Parasitol* 2001, **17**(11):518-524.
- Taylor RR, Allen SJ, Greenwood BM, Riley EM: **IgG3 antibodies to *Plasmodium falciparum* merozoite surface protein 2 (MSP2): increasing prevalence with age and association with clinical malaria.** *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 1998, **58**:406-413.
- Miller LH, Roberts T, Shahabuddin M, McCutchan TF: **Analysis of sequence diversity in the *Plasmodium falciparum* merozoite surface protein-1 (MSP-1).** *Mol Biochem Parasitol* 1993, **59**(1):1-14.
- Cavanagh DR, Dobano C, Elhassan IM, Marsh K, Elhassan A, Hviid L, Khalil EA, Theander TG, Arnot DE, McBride JS: **Differential patterns of human immunoglobulin G subclass responses to dis-**

- tinct regions of a single protein, the merozoite surface protein 1 of *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Infect Immun* 2001, **69**(2):1207-1211.
40. Egan AF, Morris J, Barnish G, Allen S, Greenwood BM, Kaslow DC, Holder AA, Riley EM: **Clinical immunity to *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria is associated with serum antibodies to the 19-kDa C-terminal fragment of the merozoite surface antigen, PfMSP-1.** *J Infect Dis* 1996, **173**(3):765-769.
 41. Mutapi F, Roussilhon C, Mduluzi T, Druilhe P: **Anti-malaria humoral responses in children exposed to *Plasmodium falciparum* and *Schistosoma haematobium*.** *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* 2007, **102**(3):405-409.
 42. Weisman S, Wang L, Billman-Jacobe H, Nhan DH, Richie TL, Coppel RL: **Antibody responses to infections with strains of *Plasmodium falciparum* expressing diverse forms of merozoite surface protein 2.** *Infect Immun* 2001, **69**(2):959-967.
 43. McColl DJ, Silva A, Foley M, Kun JF, Favaloro JM, Thompson JK, Marshall VM, Coppel RL, Kemp DJ, Anders RF: **Molecular variation in a novel polymorphic antigen associated with *Plasmodium falciparum* merozoites.** *Mol Biochem Parasitol* 1994, **68**(1):53-67.
 44. Sokhna C, Le Hesran JY, Mbaye PA, Akiana J, Camara P, Diop M, Ly A, Druilhe P: **Increase of malaria attacks among children presenting concomitant infection by *Schistosoma mansoni* in Senegal.** *Malar J* 2004, **3**:43.
 45. Harnett W: **The antihelminthic action of praziquantel.** *Parasitol Today* 1988, **4**(5):144-146.
 46. Kinyanjui SM, Conway DJ, Lanar DE, Marsh K: **IgG antibody responses to *Plasmodium falciparum* merozoite antigens in Kenyan children have a short half-life.** *Malar J* 2007, **6**:82.

Pre-publication history

The pre-publication history for this paper can be accessed here:

<http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2334/8/158/prepub>

Publish with **BioMed Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours — you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here:
http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp

